IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No.8069 of 2004

S P Kharat..Petitioner
vs
Controller of Exm MUHS Nasik & ors.respdts

with

Writ Petition No.8094 of 2004
Praveen Ganpati Bharati. petitioner
vs
Controller of Exms MUHS Nasik and ors..respdts

with
Writ petition No.8ll9 of 2004
Shikalgar Anees Lohar F B.. petitioner
vs
Controller of Exams MUHS Nasik & ors..respdts

with
Writ petition no.8l20 of 2004
Amol Sadashiv Naphade .. petitioner
vs
Controller of Exams MUHS Nasik and ors...respdts

with
Writ Petition no. 8l2l of 2004
Parag Chandrakant Paradkar.. petitioner
vs
Controller of Exams MUHS Nasik and ors..respdts

Mr L M Acharya for petitioners

Mr.R.V.Govilkar for respondent nos.l and 2

CORAM: A.P.SHAH & S.C DHARMADHIKARI JJ.

Dated 26.l0.2004

P.C:

Heard advocates. Perused the affidavit filed on behalf of the University. The University has reconsidered the issue of punishment and paras 3

and 4 of the earlier resolution have been deleted and the amended order reads as follows:

> "i) The Principal, Center Incharge, Center Observer and Jt Supervisor Dr P M Somade should jointly lodge an FIR in the Local Police Station to take suitable action on the subject. The FIR should be lodged within a week under the Maharashtra Prevention of Mal-practices at University, Board and other Specified Examinations, Act, l982 as per the provisions of Rule 65.l2.l5 of Ordinance l/2002 (amended)
>
> ii) The concerned 5 students' performance of June/July 2004 examination is to be cancelled in full, in all subjects and exclusion from one additional examination i.e. October/ November, 2004 examination as per provisions of Rule 65.l2.l5 of Ordinance l/2002(amended)."

In view of the decision taken by the University nothing survives in these petitions. Petitions are accordingly disposed of.